[redacted]

-d and Subpart 30-3 of the &RPPLVVLRQHU¶V 5HJXODWLRQV DQG $UWLFOH 2SHUDWLQJ As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teacher V or principal V overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Departm

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2019

Disclaimers

For guidance related to Annual Professional Performance Review plans, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA'splan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed records on file for each section of the currently implemented APPR plan. Such detailed records must be provided to the Department upon request. The Department reserves the right to disapprove or require modification of an LEA's plan that does not rigorously adhere to the requirements of Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The Department will not review any attachments other than those required in the online form. Any additional attachments supplied by the LEA are for informational purposes only for the teachers and principals reviewed under this APPR plan. Statements and/or materials in such additional attachments have not been approved and/or endorsed by the Department. However, the Department considers void any other signed agreements between and among parties in any form that prevent, conflict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPRplan approved by the Department. The Department also reserves the right to request further information from the LEA, as necessary, as part of its review of this plan.

If the Department reasonably believes through investigation, or otherwise, that statements made in this APPR plan are not true or accurate, it reserves the right to reject or disapprove this plan at any time and/or to request additional information to determine the truth and/or accuracy of such statements.

APPR Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below

- ☑ Assure that the content of this form represents the LEA's entire APPR plan and that the APPR plan is in compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that a detailed version of the LEA's entire APPR plan is kept on file and that a copy of such plan will be provided to the Department upon request for review of compliance with Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that this APPR plan will be posted on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year, or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall occur later.
- ☑ Assure that it is understood that this LEA's APPR plan will be posted in its entirety on the NYSED website* following approval.

Page Last Modified: 03/12/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 3: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 3: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 3: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 3: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Grade 8 Science
☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents
☑ Living Environment Regents
☑ Global History Regents
☑ US History Regents
☑ NYSAA
☑ NYSESLAT

Page Last Modified: 03/12/2020

Grade Four (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 4: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 4: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Four: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Four: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Global History Regents
- ☑ US History Regents
- ☑ NYSAA
- ☑ NYSESLAT



;6nf0242 74o/6/2020 02Ral Professional Perfot4fj T*4S53c92ew80 Educatal Law §3012-d, am

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Grade Six

Please identify below whether grade six instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade six teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please choose the option that best describes grade six in your LEA.

☑ Departmentalized - all core subjects use the same measure and assessment(s)

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Grade Seven

Please identify below whether grade seven instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade seven teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the



Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Page Last Modified: 03/12/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Grade 8 Science
☑ ELA Regents
☑ Algebra I Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether grades 9 through 12 ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ The measures and assessments used for high school ELA teachers vary by grade level

Page Last Modified: 03/12/2020

Grade 12 ELA: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 12 ELA: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 12 ELA: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 12 ELA: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Global History Regents
- ☑ US History Regents
- ☑ NYSAA
- ☑ NYSESLAT

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

High School Regents Math

Note: Additional high school math courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents math teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents math teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Please indicate whether high school Regents math teachers use the same measure or assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level.

☑ The measures and assessments used for high school math teachers vary by course

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

High School Algebra I Measure and Assessment(s)

Algebra I: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Algebra I: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Algebra I: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Algebra I Regents

High School Geometry Measure and Assessment(s)

Geometry: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Geometry: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Geometry: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Geometry Regents

High School Algebra II Measure and Assessment(s)

Algebra II: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Algebra II: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Algebra II: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Algebra II Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Living Environment Measure and Assessment(s)

Living Environment: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Living Environment: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Living Environment: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Living Environment Regents

Earth Science Measure and Assessment(s)

Earth Science: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Earth Science: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Earth Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Earth Science Regents

Chemistry Measure and Assessment(s)

Chemistry: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Chemistry: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Chemistry: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Chemistry Regents

Physics Measure and Assessment(s)

Physics: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Physics: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Physics: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Physics Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

High School Regents Social Studies: Measures and Assessments

Note: Additional high school social studies courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents social studies teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents social studies teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year. **in the cuBar.y <6ioagses a5/en choose**

Page Last Modified: 03/12/2020

Global History I Measure and Assessment(s)

Global History I: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Global History I: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Global History I: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Global History I: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 8 Science
- ☑ ELA Regents
- ☑ Algebra I Regents
- ☑ Living Environment Regents
- ☑ Global History Regents
- ☑ US History Regents
- ☑ NYSAA
- ☑ NYSESLAT

Global History II Measure and Assessment(s)

Global History II: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

Global History II: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Global History II: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Global History Regents

US History Measure and Assessment(s)

US History: Measure Type

Teacher and course-specific

US History: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

US History: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ US History Regents

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Other Courses

Please identify below the 'other courses' in your LEA; indicate which of the six available measures will be used for for each group of teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Grade From	**Grade To**	**Subject**	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	5	All courses not named above	District-or BOCES-wide results	☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ ELA Regents ☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Living Environment Regents ☑ Global History Regents ☑ US History Regents ☑ NYSAA ☑ NYSESLAT		
6	8	All courses not named above	District-or BOCES-wide results	☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ ELA Regents ☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Living Environment Regents ☑ Global History Regents ☑ US History Regents ☑ NYSAA ☑ NYSESLAT		
9	12	All courses not named above	District-or BOCES-wide results	☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ ELA Regents ☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Living Environment Regents ☑ Global History Regents ☑ US History Regents ☑ NYSAA ☑ NYSESLAT		

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance measure, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- **Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;**
- **Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or**
- **Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.**

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess performance based on the observable NYS Teaching Standards.

Rubric Name	**If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.**
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2013 Instructionally Focused Edition)	(No Response)

Rubric Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning points for the Teacher Observation category will be in compliance with the locally-determined subcomponent weights and overall Observation category score and rating based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all classroom teachers in a grade/subject across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for teachers who teach different grades and/or subjects during the school year as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is used for all observations of a classroom teacher across the observation types in a given school year.

Process for Weighting Rubric Domains/Subcomponents

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Please describe the process for weighting the observable domains/subcomponents of the chosen practice rubric (e.g., Domains 1 and 2 will be weighted 30% each and Domains 3 and 4 will be weighted 20% each).

All observable components will be weighted equally and averaged using the Danielson Teachscape 2013 rubric.

Scoring Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

TEACHERS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all teachers or to a subgroup of teachers.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Teachers" is selected in the previous column, indicate which teachers the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**UNANNOUNCED Observation Method**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of Observations**	**ANNOUNCED Observation Method**
Subgroup of Teachers	Tenured	0	N/A	1	In Person
Subgroup of Teachers	Untenured	0	N/A	2	In Person

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

At least one observation must be conducted by impartial independent trained evaluator(s) and at least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA.
- They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the teacher being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers (e.g., teacher leaders on career ladder pathways), so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the teacher being evaluated.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by impartial independent trained evaluator07 0 as they auro2l <</MCID 30 >>BDC -0.942 -1.5 Td (•

•ast one of th37 -1.5 Td (Ifsame smn, gran* ("Nn"NnnutheRuatl/S>BDle Bcode) a EMC /StyleE>BDCy a -0 Hardship Waiver>BDC - Dr leaderswaytrat >>BDC
D39(At least onP <</MCI794170.ns by TEACHERS 10 0 Tea3mponenTj T EM"cLbeCby impame j EMC 40.417 Tj Tm rg /T)Tj T* ("N/A."eLbher >>ach)Tj T*g 1
D40equired Subcomponent5.4d w6/MCID UBGROUP 10 0 Tea3mponenTj T f " 16 >>BDC 0 g 13.55"mn,
"inCch
teaeviouatorlum<, e M"cLbeCbyichach
"N/A."eLbhe;BDC eimwise, MC ers, or pee"0 T." Fomp fribl <40a >> >>BD ar frs, or peerneimprow. 10 0 0 10 E

D42D 20 >>BDC 6.7BDC 0.0NANNOUNs, or peeCEDs, or peeined EvalTch
D43D 20 >>BDC 6.>BDC 0.ANNOUNCETch
D44 EMC /P <</MC7BDC 0.ANNOUNCETch

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by trained peer observer(s).

- Peer teachers are trained and selected by the LEA.
-

15thberng observer(s).

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a teacher rated Ineffective on the StudentPerformance category, but rated Highly Effective ontheObservationcategory based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA'sadherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4)the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.



Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Training for Evaluators and Staff

Training Process

1. All evaluators and independent evaluators will be initially trained and lead evaluators will be trained and certified through our local BOCES certification course. There are typically four sessions that occur in the summer of the new employeehiring. Each session is7 hours with the total training hours through the course equally 28 hours.

a. This must be completed before the evaluator can conduct any observations.

2. Training for Independent evaluators and peer evaluators shall receive training on the following elements:

a. New York State teaching standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;

b. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research with examples from the Danielson rubric.

c. Application and use of the Danielson Rubric (State approved teacher rubric(s) ,including training on the effective application of the Danielson rubricto observe a teacher.

3. Lead Evaluator/Evaluator training course shall provide training on:

a. New York State teaching standards and their related elements and performance indicators and the leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;

b. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research with examples from the Danielson Rubric;

c. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the district to evaluate its teachers;

d. Application and use of the Danielson teacher rubric (State-approved)for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of the rubric to observe a teacher's practice.

e. Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers;

f. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student performance in the optional sub-component of the student performance category used by the district to evaluate its teachers;

g. Use of the statewide instructional reporting system;

h. The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher under Subpart 30-3, including the weightings of each sub-component within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each sub-component and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for theteacher's overall rating and their category ratings; and

i. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities.

4. Recertification and retraining of all leadevaluators and retraining of evaluators and independent evaluators including inter-rater reliabilityis conducted quarterly through our administrative leadership meetingsconducted by the Superintendent and/orAssistant Superintendents. The Superintendent and/or Assistant Superintendents will re-certify lead evaluators.

4.4740

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide teachers with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all teachers will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

** In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all school visits, a score of 0 will be assigned.*

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second school visit(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or his/her designee. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 9 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.5(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by trained peer principal(s).

- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA.
- Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.
- School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.

Indicate the minimum number of unannounced and announced school visits by trained peer principal(s) in the table below.

If the optional subcomponent will not be used, please indicate "N/A" for the minimum number for both unannounced and announced school visits for "All Principals."

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	0

Peer Principal School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that peer principal(s), as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Principal School Visit Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to e S EMC BT /

Page Last Modified: 08/03/2019

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed;and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this APPR plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

WCSD Principal Improvement Plan.pdf

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the studentperformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be handled in a timely and expeditious manner.

Principal APPR Appeal Process

CHALLENGES IN AN APPEAL:

Appeals are limited to those identified by Education Law §3012-d, as follows:

(1) The substance of the annual professional performance review;

(2) The school district's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews;

(3) The adherence to Commissioner's Regulations, as applicable to such reviews;

(4) Compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures applicable to annual professional performance reviews or improvement plans; and

(5) The school district's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan.

RATINGS THAT MAY BE APPEALED:

Appeals of annual professional performance reviews may be brought for overall ratings of ineffective or developing. An appeal may only be initiated once a principal receives their overall HEDI rating.

PROHIBITION AGAINST MORE THAN ONE APPEAL:

A principal may not file multiple appeals regarding the same performance review or improvement plan. All grounds for appeal must be raised with specificity within such appeal. Any grounds not raised at the time the appeal is filed shall be deemed null and void.

If a principal is challenging the issuance of an improvement plan, principal4agarding the same performancece review .uds not fmay BDC s e ineffectmaysctivyeal ma

Page Last Modified: 05/11/2020

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

Training for Building Principal Evaluators

Training Process

1. All evaluators and independent evaluators will be initially trained and lead evaluators will be trained and certified through our local BOCES certification course. New evaluators will be initially trained through our local BOCES certification course on the LCI multidimensional rubric. There are typically four sessions that occur in the summer of the new employee hiring. Each session is from 8:00 - 3:00 or 7 hours. The total training hours through the course is 28 hours.

a. This must be completed before the evaluator can conduct any Principal visits.

2. Training for Independent evaluators and/or peer principalsshall receive training on the following elements:

a. The leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;

b. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research with examples from the Multidimensional rubric.

c. Application and use of the Multidimensional Rubric (State approved principalrubric(s) ,including training on the effective application of the Multidimensional rubricto observe a principal.

3. Lead Evaluator/Evaluator training course shall provide training on:

a. The leadership standards and their related functions, as applicable;

b. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research with examples from the Multidimensional rubric.

c. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its principals d. Application and use of the Multidimensional Rubric (State approved principalrubric(s) ,including training on the effective application of the Multidimensional rubricto observe a principal.

e. Application and use of any assessment tools that the district utilizes to evaluate its building principals;

f. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student performance in the optional sub-component of the student performance category used by the district to evaluate itsprincipals;

g. Use of the statewide instructional reporting system;

h. The scoring methodology utilized by the department and/or the district to evaluate a teacher under Subpart 30-3, including the weightings of each sub-component within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each sub-component and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for thePrincipal's overall rating and their category ratings; and

i. Specific considerations in evaluating principals of English language learners and students with disabilities.

4. Recertification and retraining of all leadevaluators and retraining of evaluators and independent evaluators including inter-rater reliabilityis conducted quarterly through our administrative leadership meetings conducted by theSuperintendentand/orAssistant Superintendents. The Superintendent and/or Assistant Superintendents will re-certify lead evaluators.

a. Each session is between 1.5 to 2 hours of time for a total of 6 - 8 hours.

b. All evaluators are expected to bring three observations that they had conducted to share (@5 copies each). Staff is put into small groups to read and rate the observation.

c. A rubric is used to align scoring and discussions around evidence are shared within the group.

5. Annual professional performance reviews of building principals shall be a significant factor for employment decisions, including but not limited to, promotion, retention, tenure determination, termination, and supplemental compensation, in accordance with Education Law 3012-d(1).

Page Last Modified: 11/06/2019

Principal Evaluation Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the principal their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Principal School Visit category for the principal's Annual Professional Performance Review, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.
- ☑ Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- ☑ Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any principal's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set forth in regulations of the Commissioner. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide principals with their APPR scores and ratings as soon as practicable, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the principal's performance is being measured.

Assessment Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by state or federal law for each classroom or program within a grade level does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for the grade.
- ☑ Assure that individuals with vested interest in the outcome of their assessments are not involved, to the extent practicable, in the scoring of those assessments.

Data Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that SED will receive accurate teacher and student data, including enrollment and attendance data, and any other student, teacher, school, course, and teacher/student linkage data necessary to comply with regulations, in a format and timeline prescribed by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them.
- ☑ Assure scores for all principals will be reported to NYSED for each subcomponent, as well as the overall rating, as per NYSED requirements.
- ☑ Assure that procedures for ensuring data accuracy and integrity are being utilized.

Page Last Modified: 05/12/2020

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Webster CSD APPR LLA Certification Form Resubmit May 2020.pdf
Webster CSD APPR 3012-d District Certification Form 2016-17 (1).pdf

Teacher Improvement Plan
Webster CSD

Name of Teacher _____

School Building _____ Academic Year _____

1. **Specific Area for Improvement** (i.e. Instructional Planning, Student Assessment, Classroom Management/Environment, Fulfillment of Professional Responsibilities such as Attendance, Communication with Colleagues/administration, Communication with home):

2. **Improvement Goal/Outcome** - List of specific expectations related to areas outlined in #1 above:

3. **Required and Accessible Resources:**
   a. Identify the lead evaluator who has oversight of the TIP
   b. List specific materials, people, workshop to be used to support the TIP
   c. Identify the instrument or rubrics used to monitor progress

4. **Action Steps/Activities** (i.e. Observe colleagues identified by principal and/or teacher, attend workshops related to targeted goals, meeting with designated members of administration team or PLC on a defined schedule):

5. **Evidence of Achievement**:
   a. Identify how progress will be measured and assessed
   b. Specify next steps to be taken based upon progress or lack thereof

6. **Timeline for Measuring Achievement of Expected Outcomes**:
   a. Identify dates for classroom observations consistent with APPR plan
   b. Identify dates for progress meetings with administrators related to each identified targeted goal
   c. Identify dates for quarterly assessment of overall progress

Teacher signature _____ Date _____

Administrator signature _____ Date _____

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Pro[illegible]

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2015 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, upon information and belief, state all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended and/or modified as otherwise necessary to implement the requirements of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, acknowledge that approval of this APPR Plan is that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA; that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements in any form, written or oral, that in any way limit or restrict the implementation of the APPR Plan and that any changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except upon the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any state aid withheld as a result of the Commissioner's disapproval may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to: tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher and principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher or principal is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall annually provide the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal School Visit Category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's APPR is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available for public inspection by no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that [illegible] prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive [illegible]
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the [illegible] specific
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Ineffective rating will be provided with a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan in accordance with all applicable statutes and regulations by October 1 of the school year following the year in which the rating was received, or as soon thereafter as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of his pedagogical judgment, and shall not be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators [illegible] properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified [illegible] recertified as necessary in accordance with all applicable [illegible]
- Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious [illegible] of an appeal to the LEA;
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching [illegible] Standards/Domains of the selected practice [illegible] once a year across [illegible] Standards/Domains of the selected practice [illegible]

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to [illegible] components and categories is transparent and
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- [illegible]
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to [illegible]
- [illegible] Federal law for each classroom or program in the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum [illegible] required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision as necessary to meet the requirements of Section 504 [illegible] or the individualized education program of a student with a disability;

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

5/14/2020

Superintendent Name (print):

Teachers Union President Signature: Date: 5/14/20

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 5/14/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):