



THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT / THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter: @NYSEDnews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

January 31, 2023

Revised

Mr. John Carmello, Superintendent
Troy City School District
2920 Fifth Avenue
Troy, NY 12180

Dear Superintendent Carmello:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your educator evaluation plan ("plan") meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your educator evaluation form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved plan.

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your educator evaluation plan have been reviewed and are considered as part of your plan; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your plan but are not incorporated by reference in your plan have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your plan and/or require corrective action.



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the determined by

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

MEASURES

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ WKH

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;

identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;

when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program or students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHDP RI WHDFKHUV or FRXUVHV or VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHDP RI WHDFKHUV or FRXUVHV in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed linked results: VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHDP RI WHDFKHUV or VFKRRO WHDFKHUV assessments in other grades/subjects.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s); or

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

third party assessments; or

locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each teacher has an SLO as determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- Assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- Assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- Assure that if a teacher's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the teacher's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs.
- Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each teacher will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.
- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.

Measures and Assessments

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

Use the table below to list all applicable teachers with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

Note on common branch/departmentalized options

Grades 4-8

- If all core content area instruction (ELA/math/science/social studies) is delivered by a single teacher, please select each applicable common branch grade level below.

- If core content area instruction is departmentalized (i.e., separate ELA, math, science, and social studies teachers), please select the applicable grade level/content area combination(s).

- If both common branch and departmentalized instruction occurs in a particular grade level, please select both options for the applicable grade level(s).

Grades K-3 that use both a common branch and departmentalized model

- Check each applicable common branch grade level below.

2 Q W K H Q R Q F R U H H O H F W L Y H W H D F K H U V S D J H V H O H F W W K H è (O H P H Q W D U \ é R S W L R Q I R U D S J U D G H V

Choose "Add Row" to include an additional group of teachers with a different measure and assessment(s).

Applicable Teachers Select all that apply	Measure Prior to making a selection, please read the description of each measure provided above.	State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Applicable School or BOCES-Program Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this column.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> All teachers(all grade levels, subjects and courses)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Collectively attributed results (program, school or district-wide measure)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ELA Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Algebra I Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Living Environment Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Global History Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> US History Regents			(No Response)

Non-core/Elective Teachers

Please only check the box below if none of the options for non-core/elective teachers in the table above are applicable (e.g., teachers of art, music, and physical education use different measures and assessments).

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.



Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

- Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

How are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

- Each component is weighted equally and averaged

Scoring the Observation Category

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?

Examples of observations of the same type include but are not limited to:

Two observations by the principal with one early in the school year weighted at 40% and one late in the school year weighted at 60%.

Two observations by the principal, with one holistic score for each component of the rubric based on the preponderance of evidence over both observations.

Please note: Weighting across observation type (i.e. Principal vs. Independent Evaluator) are described in the following section.

- Multiple observations of the same type are weighted equally

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified in the next section, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category	
	Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

* In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Teacher Observation

The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

î



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

trained administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

↑

		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Number and Method of Observation: Subgroup 2

At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

Required Subcomponent 1: At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator (supervisor).

Required Subcomponent 2: At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).

Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

Please describe.



		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



Peer Observation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that peer observers, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- Assure that, if observations are being conducted by trained peer observers, these teachers received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2023

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

APPR 22-23 TIP_Process_Final_3012-d.docx



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2023

<p>Which groups of teachers may utilize the appeals process? Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.</p>	<p>Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. Select all that apply.</p>	<p>What is the maximum length of time for the teachers selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?</p>
	<p>required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the teacher improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents 	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of teachers that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of teachers not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
4. \$SSOLFDWLRQ DQG XVH RI WKH 6WDWH DSSURYHG WHDFKHU UXEULF V VHOHFWHG E\ WKH DSSOLFDWLRQ RI VXFK UXEULFV WR REVHUYH D WHDFKHUâ V SUDFWLFH
5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

For a definition of terms used in this section, please see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Please answer the questions below to describe the training process for all evaluators.

Evaluator Training

Please identify the entity responsible for training and retraining evaluators.

Check all that apply.

BOCES (BOCES trains component district)

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

Assure that the duration of training and retraining is sufficient to train on all 9 elements from Section 30-3.10 of the Rules of the Board of Regents (which includes, but is not limited to, training on the proper application or use of the rubric).



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

2-6 hours

Certification of Lead Evaluators

How often are lead evaluators certified?

Annually

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

Board of Education

Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the observation process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that observations are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.

Please check all that apply.




- Data analysis to detect disparities on the part of the evaluators
- Periodic comparisons of an evaluator's assessment of the same classroom teacher
- Other (please provide additional information below)

Other than those specified above, what elements are in place to ensure inter-rater reliability?

Calibration training as part of the BOCES certification process.

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
 - Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- 
- 
- 
-

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Required Student Performance Measures

7KH UHTXLUHG VWXGHQW SHUIRUPDQFH PHDVXUH IRU D SULQFLSDO PD\ EH HLWKHU D VWXGH
SULQFLSDOáV RYHUDOO UDWLQJ VKDOO EH GHWHUPLQHG EDVHG RQ HYLGHQFH RI SULQFLSDO
6WDQG DUGV

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

\$Q LQGLYLGXDOO\ DWWULEXWHG 6/2 LV EDVHG RQ WKH OHDUQLQJ RXWFRPHV RI D VWXGHQW

> Individually attributed results VFRUHV DQG UDWLQJV ZLOO EH EDVHG RQ WKH JURZWK RI VWXGHQWV LQ
VFKRRO \HDU

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;

identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);

WKH LPDFW RQ WKH /(\$áV DELOLW\ WR PDNH VWURQJ DQG HTXLWDEOH LQIHUHQFHV UHJD

when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of VWXGHQWV LQ WKH JURXS WHDP RI SULQFLSDOVá EXLOGLQJV SURJUDPV LQ DQ /(\$ in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

State assessment(s); or

Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

third party assessments; or

locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective												
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment, e

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/18/2023

Building Configuration(s) for Applicable Principals Select all that apply	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Applicable School or BOCES-Program Please leave blank unless instructed by the Department to complete this
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.




Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.
- Assure



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	Group of principals for which this weighting will apply If only one group of principals is applicable, please list "All principals"
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	All Principals





Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall School Visit category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/09/2023

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.


Principal Improvement Plan Assurances


Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
 - 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
 - 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
 - 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.
-
- 



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/13/2023

<p>Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process? Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.</p>	<p>Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. Please select all that apply.</p>	<p>What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?</p>
	<p>and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents</p>	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Training Assurance

Please read the assurance below and check the box.

The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

1. The Leadership Standards and their related functions, as applicable
- 2.



[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 01/25/2023

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.



TIP-Teacher Improvement Plan
Completed by Teacher, TIP administrator and TTA representative

TeacherName _____ r

LEA CERTIFICATION FROM: Please download, sign, and provide this form to complete the submission of your LEA Educator Evaluation Plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, certifies that the Educator Evaluation Plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes a comprehensive Educator Evaluation Plan that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-d as amended by the Laws of 2019 and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, and that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the educator evaluation plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certifies, upon information and belief, that the information herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals and/or have been amended and/or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated using the educator evaluation plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certifies that this Educator Evaluation Plan is a comprehensive Educator Evaluation plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA, that there are no collective bargaining memoranda of understanding, or any other agreements or any other outstanding issues, that have not been fully implemented and/or resolved for the Educator Evaluation plan, and that no material changes will be made to the plan without the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, certifies that if this Educator Evaluation plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this Educator Evaluation plan may be withheld or reduced by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(1)(b).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, certifies that the LEA will comply with the following requirements with respect to their Educator Evaluation plan:

- Assure that the overall Educator Evaluation rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to the use of promotion and salary decisions.
- Assure that the LEA will provide a rating for each teacher or principal as soon as possible, but not later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or principal's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal their score and rating for the Student Achievement category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category or Principal Score only category of a teacher's or principal's APPR, in writing, no later than the end of the school year in which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured.
- Assure that the Educator Evaluation plan will be submitted to the Board of Regents no later than September 10th of each school year or 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur.
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in the format prescribed by the Commissioner.
- Assure that the LEA will continue to report to the State the number of classroom teachers and building principals who are evaluated.
- Assure that the LEA provides an accurate list of student rosters assigned to them.
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and comprehensive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluator certification addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals or English Language Learners and students with disabilities.
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective or who is assigned to a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan must complete such plan by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured or as soon as practicable.
- Assure that such improvement plans are developed by the LEA, are subject to the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law.
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with applicable statutes and regulations.
- Assure that LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the statute and regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA.
- Assure that, for teachers, all observations will be conducted in the classroom and the results reported to the teacher once a year across the selected Standards/Domains of the selected Standard for Learning or Learning Standard, and that the results of the observations will be used to inform the teacher's professional growth plan.

