/ THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

President of the University of the State of New York
89 Washington Avenue, Room 111
Albany, New York 12234

E-mail: commissioner@nysed.gov
Twitter:@NYSEDNews
Tel: (518) 474-5844
Fax: (518) 473-4909

April 29, 2022

Revised

Laurie Bandlow, Superintendent
Brewster Central School District
30 Farm to Market Road
Brewster, NY 10509

Dear Superintendent Bandlow:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your educator evaluation plan ("plan") meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of

data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visit

Page Last Modified: 04/26/2022

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

:T1_1 1 Tf 8 1C /P (scores anquraconss iv 3libarequi 8 45 growe f_2 1p_4 s in(S >>BDCr'stniurse in(Scurr_4 1school yTr j EMC /P <</MCID 6 >>17ted.)Tj E

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-100%	93-96%	90-92%	85-89%	80-84%	75-79%	67-74%	60-66%	55-59%	49-54%	44-48%	39-43%	34-38%	29-33%	25-28%	21-24%	17-20%	13-16%	9-12%	5-8%	0-4%

SLO Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Page Last Modified: 04/26/2022

Applicable Teachers Select all that apply	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s) Select all that apply	Third Party Assessment(s) Select all that apply
courses corresponding to the grade levels of the courses selected above(e.g. teachers of other courses in grades 4-8; to list teachers of other courses separately, please use the table in the following section)				
☑ Grade 6 ELA ☑ Grade 6 Math ☑ Grade 6 Science ☑ Grade 6 Social Studies ☑ Grade 7 ELA ☑ Grade 7 Math ☑ Grade 7 Science ☑ Grade 7 Social Studies ☑ Grade 8 ELA ☑ Grade 8 Math ☑ Grade 8 Science ☑ Grade 8 Social Studies ☑ All teachers of other courses corresponding to the grade levels of the courses selected above(e.g. teachers of other courses in grades 4-8; to list teachers of other courses separately, please use the table in the following section)	☑ Collectively attributed results	☑ Algebra I Regents ☑ Geometry Regents		
☑ Grades 9-12 ELA luted	B Q BT25 80 326 cm /Im0on)			

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

[redacted]tegory.

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Teacher Observation Category

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Teacher Practice Rubric

Select a teacher practice rubric from the ory <<ct <</BBox [25 32 4e-i pProvher 0 rg /T1_2 1 Tf 0 Tr 10 0 0 10 42 6 487 1l t 85DC M

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

There are two types of observation within the required observation subcomponent:

1. Observations by principal(s) or other trained administrators
2. Observations by impartial independent trained evaluator(s)

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted?
(e.g., If a principal conducts two observations, one announced and one unannounced, are those two observations weighted equally and averaged to result in one final score for observations by principal(s) or other trained

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)
- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)
- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan i approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitte and approved on an annual basis.

Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Principal/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer Observer(s) [Optional]	Group of teachers for which this weighting will apply If only one group of teachers is applicable, please list "All teachers"
90%	10%	0% (N/A)	All teachers

Teacher Observation

The teacher observation category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of observations are locally determined.
- Observations may occur in person, by live virtual observation, or by recorded video, as determined locally.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one observation by any of the required observers.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct observations in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

Required Subcomponents

- At least one of the required observations

- _____

- Obsleast one of EMC /LBody <</MCID 39 4>BDC -0.40muservation by t be unaduct obby the Combuilg thopcipal/A

- _____

Page Last Modified: 03/28/2022

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

Teacher Improvement Plan Forms

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TIP Template_Revised March 28 2022 1.pdf

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of teachers that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of teachers not specified in the table above that may utlize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Page Last Modified: 03/16/2022

Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

☑

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Teacher Evaluation Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

☑ Assure

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

The required student performance measure for a principal may be either a student learning objective (SLO) or an input model, where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configuration or across multiple building/progra where the learning activities of one building/program indirectly contribute to student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings for the selected principals will be based on the growth of students in an LEA who take the applicable assessm in the current school year.

> Collectively attributed group or team results: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEA in the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- State assessment(s) or

  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- third party assessments; or
- locally-developed assessments (district-, BOCES-, or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

☑ Student Learning Objective (SLO)

Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.

☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

Page Last Modified: 03/16/2022

HEDI Scoring Bands

Highly Effective			Effective			Developing		Ineffective											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97-P						15														

☑ ☑	☑			☑ ☑
☑	☑	☑ ☑		
☑	☑	☑ ☑		

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or
-

Page Last Modified: 03/16/2022

Principal School Visit Category

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

For the school visit category, principals' shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into t school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practic rubric.

Principal Practice Rubric

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all principals in the same or similar programs or grade configurations across the LEA, provided that LEAs may locally determine whether to use different rubrics for a principal assigned to different programs or grade configurations as indicated in the table above.
- ☑ Assure that the same rubric(s) is (are) used for all school visits for a principal across the school visit types in a given school year.

Rubric Rating Process

For more information on the Principal School Visit category see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Edu Evaluation Glossary.

The following is one example of how an LEA might score principal school visits using the selected practice rubric: Domains 1-4 of the MPPR rubric have been negotiated as observable. Domains 2 and 3 are weighted as 40% each, and Domains 1 and 4 are weighted as 10% each. For each school visit, evidence is colle for all observed subcomponents in a domain. A holistic score is then determined for each domain. These domain scores are weighted as indicated above to reac final score for each school visit. Scores for each school visit are weighted equally and averaged to reach a final score for each school visit type. The LEA will ens that all subcomponents designated as observable will be addressed at least once across the school visit cycle.

Use the following section to describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that the designation of components of the selected practice rubric as observable is locally negotiated.
- ☑ Assure that all components of the selected practice rubric designated as observable are assessed at least once, and that each of the ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25) is covered, across the total number of annual school visits.
- ☑ Assure that a component designated as ineffective is rated one (1), a component designated as developing is rated two (2), a component designated as effective is rated three (3), and a component designated as highly effective is rated four (4).
- ☑ Assure that the process for assigning scores and/or ratings for each principal school visit is consistent with locally determined processes, including practice rubric component weighting consistent with the description in this plan.

At what level are the observable components of the selected rubric(s) rated?

- ☑ Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

rating categories.
Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	
	Effecti623..3 f ej T* (Effective rSchool ViAlue forT* (Effecsuew9 foem Rubri623.22 Q q6 uore)Tj EMC dg/e/S g R)Tj EM	

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitte and approved on an annual basis.

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	Group of principals for which this weighting will apply If only one group of principals is applicable, please list "All prinicpals"
80%	20%		

[Optional] 2qx3 Td ( )Tj 0 -6.75 TD ( )Tj 0 den5 0 0 8 79 3712.63.62qx3 T

[illegible] boilsr240Schoo visits by >) >>BDC-18.163a 77</MCID School visits by 1:/P <</MC

- _____

- _____

- _____

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Appeals Assurances

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.
- ☑ Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a principal's receipt of their overall rating.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the student performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anom as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law § and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the of the Board of Regents.

Please use the table below to describe the appeal(s) process(es) available to principals.

Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process? Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.	Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. Please select all that apply.	What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?
☑ All principals who received a rating of Ineffective	☑ The substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following: in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the Student Performance category, but rated Highly Effective on the School Visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally ☑ The LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d ☑ The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents ☑ The LEA's issuance and/or	☑ 0-30 days

Page Last Modified: 02/18/2022

Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process? Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns. To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.	Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating. Please select all that apply.	What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?
	implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 04/27/2022

Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp o each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

1622_001.pdf

%UHZVWHU &HQWUDO 6FKRRO 'LVWULFW
7HDFKHUSURYHPHQW 3ODQ

72
)520
'$7(

$V D UHVXOW RI SHUIRUPDQFH FRQFHUQV WKH IROORZLQJ LPSURYHPHQW SODQ RWKHUZLV
\RXU UHVSRQVLELOLWLHV DV D > @

$V VXFK > IUHTXHQF\ RI PHHWLQJV² @ 7KHHWVXLQSRZHORWKHVHSFHDFWLZQJWKL>V@R GLVFXVV D
LGHQWLILHG JRDO7,V3RXWDOLQZHGLFRWKLWR EULQJ \RXU PHQWRU RU D XQLRQ UHSUHVHQWDWL

7KH QHFHVVLWLHVRI WKH IROORZLQJ DUHDV RI FRQFHUQ

7KHWWHDYDURHIFRHQFWHQHUPHSÂ¥€U@€V RVQHGWVRUHTWP@YRV üÂ ðpKLHVIRCW

IRCáü! FRQü! HYD ZHH HYDÁü! UHSHV ü! WR `€ DUHDV RI`ð HYLWÂð •VOOY WÄRWRQpQ€ÖÂ€A

>1RWH 'RPDLQ &RPSRQHQW@				

$GGLWLRQDO H[SHFWDWLRQV RI WKH FXUUHQW HPSOR\HU

$GPLQLVWUDWRUBBB

'DWH BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB

'DV

LE ON : Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Ed on

E
ll
e
a
the LEA.

l

l

ne tha room teachers and building princi d
to for .

e
S
f this tor
y).

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each